

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,438	WEISS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727

All Participants:

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Karin Williams.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Weiss et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In light of the newly found reference to Weiss et al, the previously agreed upon changes to place the application in condition for allowance cannot be presented in an examiner's amendment since a terminal disclaimer is necessary to overcome the double patenting rejection set forth in the accompanying Office action. .